Household Use of Tanana Valley Forest Resources: An Economic and Geographical Approach (Based on Data from the Tanana Valley Forest Use Survey)

By
Andriy O. Boyar
Candidate for Master of Science in
Resource and Applied Economics

Submitted to the University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Management, Department of Economics as a project in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of Science Degree in Resource and Applied Economics

November 2004

ABSTRACT

Tanana Valley Forest Use Survey was the first attempt to gather information about the forest resources use by households in Alaska. The original study was designed to analyze and interpret the data provided by the Survey.

The paper discusses the environmental and socio-economic factors determining the forest resources use in the Tanana Valley by the residents of the region. The minimum replacement values for the various categories of forest resources harvested in the region during the period from September 2002 to August 2003 are calculated and discussed. A series of stationary maps representing the allocation of the harvested forest resources were drawn.

To reveal the relationship between the households' harvesting effort (amount harvested) and certain socio-economic household characteristics the cluster analysis and linear regression techniques were employed. No linear relationship between the two groups of the variables was determined in any resource category. However, cluster analysis shows certain non-linear relationships between the variables of income, time of Alaska residence, number of household members, education and the value of harvested by the households forest resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Figures	4
List of Tables	6
List of Appendices	8
PREFACE	9
Acknowledgments	11
1.0. Introduction	12
1.1. Subject and Objectives of the Study	12
1.2. Geographical Settings	13
1.3. Factors of a Regional Forest Resource Use	15
1.3.1. Environmental Settings	16
1.3.2. Socio-Economic Determinants	19
2.0. Methods and Results	22
2.1. General Methods and Techniques Used	22
2.2. Minimum Replacement value for the Forest Resources Harvested in	
the Tanana Valley by its Households	24
2.2.1. Per Unit Replacement Value	24
2.2.2. Total Replacement Value	28
2.3. Structure and Allocation of the Harvest: Geographical Approach	35
2.4. Cluster Analysis	44
2.5. Application of Linear Regression Modeling	55
2.6. Sources of Errors and Uncertainty and Their Minimization	56
3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	60
SITED LITERATURE	62
ADDITIONAL LITERATURE	65
Appendices	67

LIST OF FIGURES

	Title	Page
Figure 1.	Forestry complex and the subject of the study	12
Figure 2.	The study area and the Tanana river watershed	14
Figure 3.	The Tanana Valley study area	15
Figure 4.	Replacement value for fish harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households (by kind of fish)	31
Figure 5.	Replacement value for wood materials harvested in the Tanana	
	Valley by its households (by category)	31
Figure 6.	Replacement value for non-wood products gathered in the	
	Tanana Valley by its households (by specious/category)	32
Figure 7.	Replacement value for big game animals harvested in the	
	Tanana Valley by its households (by species)	33
Figure 8.	Replacement value for small game animals harvested in the	
	Tanana Valley by its households (by species/category)	33
Figure 9.	Replacement value for animals trapped in the Tanana Valley by	
	its households (by species)	34
Figure 10.	Structure of total MRV for forest resources harvested in the	
	Tanana Valley by its households (by category of resources)	35
Figure 11.	The Tanana Valley harvest allocation grid	37
Figure 12.	Household fish harvested in the Tanana Valley (based on the	
	TVFUS data)	38
Figure 13.	Wood materials harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households (based on the TVFUS data)	39
Figure 14.	Non-wood products harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households (based on the TVFUS data)	40
Figure 15.	Big game animals harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households (based on the TVFUS data)	41
Figure 16.	Small game animals harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households (based on the TVFUS data)	42

Figure 17.	Animals trapped in the Tanana Valley by its households (based	
	on the TVFUS data)	43
Figure 18.	MRV for forest resources harvested in the Tanana Valley by its	
	households: structure and allocation (based on the TVFUS data).	44
Figure 19.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the estimated MRV for all forest resources harvested by the	
	households in the Tanana Valley	46
Figure 20.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the quantity of fish harvested by the households in the	
	Tanana Valley	47
Figure 21.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the MRV for wood materials harvested by the households in	
	the Tanana Valley	48
Figure 22.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the MRV for non-wood products harvested by the	
	households in the Tanana Valley	49
Figure 23.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the number of hours spent by the households on hunting in	
	the Tanana Valley	50
Figure 24.	Relationship between the income of the surveyed households	
	and the MRV for animals trapped by the households in the	
	Tanana Valley	51
Figure 25.	Relationship between the number of people in the surveyed	
	households and the MRV for all forest resources harvested by	
	the households in the Tanana Valley	52
Figure 26.	Relationship between the duration of Alaska residence of the	
	surveyed households and the MRV for all forest resources	
	harvested by the households in the Tanana Valley	53
Figure 27.	Relationship between the surveyed households' education and	
	the MRV for all forest resources harvested by the households in	
	the Tanana Valley	54

LIST OF TABLES

	Title	Page
Table 1.	Factors of the regional forest resource use	16
Table 2.	Weather Station Record Climate Summary, Interior Alaska,	
	1995-2000	18
Table 3.	Motivation for different forest resources use by surveyed	
	households of the Tanana Valley	21
Table 4.	Methods used for the study of the household forest use in the	
	Tanana Valley	22
Table 5.	Calculation of replacement values of harvested fish	25
Table 6.	Calculation of replacement values of harvested wood products	26
Table 7.	Calculation of replacement values of harvested non-wood	
	products	26
Table 8.	Big Game Weights	27
Table 9.	Calculation of replacement values of harvested game animals	27
Table 10.	Calculation of replacement values of trapped animals	28
Table 11.	Estimated quantities and MRVs of the forest resources harvested	
	in the Tanana Valley by its households (based on the TVFUS	
	data)	29
Table 12.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	group and estimated MRV of forest resources harvested in the	
	Tanana Valley	45
Table 13.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	and number of fish harvested in the Tanana Valley	47
Table 14.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	and MRV for wood materials harvested in the Tanana Valley	48
Table 15.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	and MRV for non-wood products harvested in the Tanana Valley	49
Table 16.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	and hunting effort	50

Table 17.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by income	
	and MRV for animals trapped in the Tanana Valley	51
Table 18.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by the	
	household size and MRV for all forest resources harvested by the	
	households in the Tanana Valley	52
Table 19.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by the time of	
	residence in Alaska and MRV for all forest resources harvested	
	by the households in the Tanana Valley	53
Table 20.	Distribution of the TVFUS household respondents by education	
	and MRV for all forest resources harvested by the households in	
	the Tanana Valley	54
Table 21.	Correlations between the resource harvesting effort variables and	
	candidate explanatory variables for 5 categories of forest	
	resources.	56
Table 22.	Sample sizes needed to make statistically significant inferences	
	for resource allocation grids	59

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A.	The Tanana Valley Forest Use Survey (sample)
Appendix B.	2003 population of communities in the Tanana Valley
Appendix C.	Some racial and ethnic characteristics of population
Appendix D.	Database for Figure 12: "Fish harvested in the Tanana Valley by its
	households"
Appendix E.	Database for Figure 13: "Wood materials harvested in the Tanana
	Valley by its households"
Appendix F.	Database for Figure 14: "Non-wood products harvested in the
	Tanana Valley by its households"
Appendix G.	Database for Figure 15: "Big game (B/G) animals harvested in the
	Tanana Valley by its households"
Appendix H.	Database for Figure 16: "Small game (S/G) animals harvested in the
	Tanana Valley by its households"
Appendix I.	Database for Figure 17: "Animals trapped in the Tanana Valley by
	its households"
Appendix J.	Database for Figure 18: "Structure and allocation of forest resources
	harvested in the Tanana Valley by its households"
Appendix K.	Population and Household Characteristics by Residential Zip Codes within the Tanana Valley